

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/667,576	09/22/2000	Tetsufumi Tsuzaki	50212-132	7978
20277	7590 09/08/2003			
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY 600 13TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			EXAMINER CUNNINGHAM, STEPHEN C	
		·	3663	
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
. Advisory Action	09/667,576	TSUZAKI ET AL.
,	Examiner	Art Unit
₽ .	Stephen C. Cunningham	3663
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 11 August 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eith condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of A Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	d to avoid abandonment of this a ner: (1) a timely filed amendmen Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a	application. A proper reply to a twhich places the application in
PERIOD FO	R REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The experiod of the status of the date for purposes of determining the period of 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shop above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nis Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forti ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing of WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS C The date on which the petition under 37 Ciff extension and the corresponding amount ortened statutory period for reply originally	date of the final rejection. FTHE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee to the fee. The appropriate extension fee under set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appear 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (3		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be ente	red because:	
(a) \(\text{ \infty} \) they raise new issues that would require	further consideration and/or sea	arch (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see N	Note below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	ation in better form for appeal by	materially reducing or simplifying th
(d) they present additional claims without ca	anceling a corresponding numbe	er of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	rejection(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s)v canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	would be allowable if submitted i	n a separate, timely filed amendmen
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ requeapplication in condition for allowance because		considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ed because it is not directed SOL	ELY to issues which were newly
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amend explanation of how the new or amended clair 		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as fol	lows:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_	
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)□ approved or b)□ d	lisapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Sta	tement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No	o(s)
10. Other:		CUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 360

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/667,576

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has modified the limitations of independent claims 1, 14, 29, and 32. The modifications are directe towards overcoming the Onaka reference. However, the limitations require further consideration and further search prior to determining patentability.

2